

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/086,637		03/04/2002	Milton David Goldenberg	018733-1094 8273	
22428	7590	12/08/2003		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007				HARTLEY, MICHAEL G	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1616	
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/086,637	GOLDENBERG, MILTON DAVID				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael G. Hartley	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	5						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	,—	action is non-final.					
3)[_]	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{99-201}$ is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>99-201</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🔲 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 	ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	d in this National Stage				
13)∏ A sii	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list on cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestice the need in the first CFR 1.78.	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic ference was included in the first sentence of the						
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Art Unit: 1616

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 99-114, drawn to a method of detection of lesions using an antibody (Ab) labeled with a fluorescent agent or dye, classified in class 424, subclass 9.6.
- II. Claims 115-133, drawn to a method of combined detection and therapy using various labels and treatment by brachytherapy, classified in class 424, subclass 1.49.
- III. Claims 134-143, drawn to a method of treatment using a photoactive agent and antibody, classified in class 424, subclass 131.1.
- IV. Claims 144-161, drawn to a method of treatment using an agent capable of emitting Auger electrons or other ionizing radiation, classified in class 424, subclass 1.11.
- Claims 162-182, drawn to a method of obtaining a biopsy sample, classified in class 600,
 subclass 3.
- VI. Claims 183-197, drawn to a method of detection using a labeled hapten and a bispecific antibody, classified in class 424, subclass 1.57.
- VII. Claims 198-200, drawn to a method of detection of lesions using an avidin or biotin Ab, classified in class 424, subclass 1.53.
- VIII. Claim 201, drawn to a method of detection of lesions using a labeled indifferent protein, classified in class 424, subclass 1.69.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, the different inventions are unrelated because of the different modes of operation, etc. involved. For example, a fluorescent detection cannot be used together with radioimaging, as one requires detection of radioactive materials, while the other fluorescent detection techniques. The same is true for various detection and imaging, since detection may not require treatment. Also, the different labels and/or probes

Art Unit: 1616

are unrelated, as a photoactive therapeutic is distinct from a radiation emitting therapeutic. Further, indifferent proteins are distinct from avidin, as are single divalent antibodies and bispecific antibodies.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Election

Claims 99-201 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising various labels (Mn, radioisotope, fluorescent labels, etc.) and antibodies (e.g., monospecific, bispecific, fragments thereof of various sizes, etc.). Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a **single disclosed species**, even though this requirement is traversed. **Note**: This single species will name a specific label (i.e., Mn), a specific antibody (i.e., specificity) or the specific components which are present in the agent used in the elected group, i.e., the elected species must coincide with the elected invention, as this species requirement is in addition to the election of a group.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael G. Hartley whose telephone number is (703) 308-4411. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30-5, off alternative Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Michael G. Hartley Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 1616

MH 12/1/2003